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Audit opinion
We issued an unqualified opinion on the Authority’s financial statements on 31 July 2018. This means that we believe the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority and of its expenditure and income for the year. The financial statements also include the consolidated financial statements for Authority’s Group, which consists of the Authority itself and Merseytravel.

Financial statements audit
Our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole. Materiality for the Authority’s accounts was set at £5 million (which equates to around 1.65 percent of gross expenditure) for the single entity accounts and £6m for the group accounts. We design our procedures to detect errors in specific accounts at a lower level of precision.

We report to the Audit Committee any misstatements of lesser amounts, other than those that are “clearly trivial”, to the extent that these are identified by our audit work. In the context of the Authority, an individual difference is considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £0.25 million for the Authority.

Whilst we did not identify any audit differences at the Authority, our audit of Merseytravel (a significant component of the Authority’s group Accounts) identified a total of 2 significant audit differences with a total value of £10.6 million. These adjustments do not impact on the reported the general fund of the group accounts.

Our audit work was designed to specifically address the following significant risks:

— Management Override of Controls – Professional standards require us to communicate the fraud risk from management override of controls as significant because management is typically in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Our audit methodology incorporates the risk of management override as a default significant risk. There are no matters arising from this work that we need to bring to your attention.

— Fraudulent revenue recognition - Professional standards require us to make a rebuttable presumption that the fraud risk from revenue recognition is a significant audit risk. The majority of the Authority’s income comes by way of Levy, Strategic Rail Grant and other funding. For the tunnels income stream, we did not plan to rebut this risk and therefore we will assess the controls operating over this income, carry out analytical procedures and consider any more specific testing as required. There are no matters arising from this work that we need to bring to your attention.
Valuation of PPE - The Combined Authority policy for asset revaluation is to revalue all PPE required to be measured at fair value every five years. These revaluations exclude infrastructure assets that are required to be carried at depreciated historical cost. The last revaluation was undertaken in 2014/15. Annual reviews are made of the estimated remaining life and current carrying amount of assets, ensuring that significant assets are reviewed annually and other assets are reviewed at least every three years. Adjustments to the carrying amount, or remaining useful life, are made where necessary. The asset valuation and impairment review processes are both estimates and therefore present a level of risk to the audit. During our final audit, we reviewed the output of the Desk-top review and critically assessed the Authority’s formal consideration of indications of impairment within its estate, including the process undertaken and we did not identify any significant issues in respect of this work.

Faster close – In prior years, the Authority has been required to prepare draft financial statements by 30 June and then final signed accounts by 30 September. For years ending on and after 31 March 2018 however, revised deadlines apply which require draft accounts by 31 May and final signed accounts by 31 July. These changes represent a significant change to the timetable that the Authority has previously worked to. The time available to produce draft accounts has been reduced by one month and the overall time available for completion of both accounts production and audit is two months shorter than in prior years. We have not identified any significant issues in respect of this.

New financial system - The Authority upgraded to a new finance system that went live on the 4th December 2017. This upgrade to Agresso involved the migration of data from Open Accounts and therefore there is a risk that data may not have been transferred accurately or completely leading to a material error in the financial statements. A number of issues with regards to the availability of audit trails were found in our review of the project management of the new system upgrade to Agresso. As a result we adapted our audit approach, which included the review of the overall final reconciliation that was performed by the finance team to gain assurance over the completeness and accuracy of the data migration and no issues were found here. We are in the process of seeking a fee variation from the PSAA in respect of the additional work required.

Valuation of pension liabilities (Merseytravel) - The valuation of the Executive’s pension liability, as calculated by the Actuary, is dependent upon both the accuracy and completeness of the data provided and the assumptions adopted. We have reviewed the processes in place to ensure accuracy of data provided to the Actuary and consider the assumptions used in determining the valuation. We did not identify any issues during our work in this area. Our work also considered the roll forward of the assets undertaken by the actuaries and the allocation of those assets to the Executive. We noted that the actuaries used estimated investment rates of returns for the last few months of 2017/18 and the difference in actual and estimated investment rates of return has had an impact greater than our materiality on the value of the pension fund assets and therefore net liability. The Executive requested a revised IAS 19 report which incorporates that actual returns and the accounts have been amended accordingly. The result is a material increase in the pension assets (and associated reduction in the net pension liability) of £4.6m.
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Other information accompanying the financial statements

Whilst not explicitly covered by our audit opinion, we review other information that accompanies the financial statements to consider its material consistency with the audited accounts. This year we reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report. We concluded that they were consistent with our understanding and did not identify any issues.

Whole of Government Accounts

The Authority prepares a consolidation pack to support the production of Whole of Government Accounts by HM Treasury. We are not required to review your pack in detail as the Authority falls below the threshold where an audit is required. As required by the guidance we have confirmed this with the National Audit Office.

Value for Money conclusion

We issued an unqualified conclusion on the Authority’s arrangements to secure value for money (VFM conclusion) for 2017-18 on 31 July 2018, except for:

- The lack of risk management arrangements in place during 2017/18; and
- The quoracy issues experienced during 2017/18 in the Authority’s key committees.

This means we are satisfied that during the year the Authority had appropriate arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of its resources with the exception of two areas as detailed above.

To arrive at our conclusion we looked at the Authority’s arrangements to make informed decision making, sustainable resource deployment and working with partners and third parties. Both of the issues forming the basis of the except-for conclusion are considered under the sub-criterion of informed decision making.

Value for Money risk areas

We undertook a risk assessment as part of our VFM audit work to identify the key areas impacting on our VFM conclusion and considered the arrangements you have put in place to mitigate these risks.

- Governance arrangements -As at the beginning of the financial year the Combined Authority (“the CA”) became a mayoral combined authority. The CA are continuing to embed the control arrangements they had with District authorities and there is a risk that the CA may fail to adopt effective risk management policies, to maintain effective relationships with stakeholders and given the issues the audit committee and other committees have suffered with quoracy during the year there is a risk that there is inadequate challenge and scrutiny of key audit processes.

Our work identified the following areas of weakness in the Authority’s governance arrangements:

— There were no risk management arrangements in place during 2017/18
— The Authority experienced issues with the quoracy of their committee meetings in 2017/18

High priority recommendations

We raised no high priority recommendations as a result of our 2017-18 work.
Certificate
We issued our certificate on 31 August 2018. The certificate confirms that we have concluded the audit for 2017-18 in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit & Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice.

Audit fee
Our fee for 2017-18 was £50,643 excluding VAT (2017: £47,187). Further detail is contained in Appendix 2.

Exercising of audit powers
We have a duty to consider whether to issue a report in the public interest about something we believe the Authority should consider, or if the public should know about.

We have not identified any matters that would require us to issue a public interest report.

In addition, we have not had to exercise any other audit powers under the Local Audit & Accountability Act 2014.
Appendices
Appendix 1:

Summary of reports issued

This appendix summarises the reports we issued since our last Annual Audit Letter.

External Audit Plan

The External Audit Plan set out our approach to the audit of the Executive’s financial statements, including those of the Group and to support the VFM conclusion.

Report to Those Charged with Governance

The Report to Those Charged with Governance summarised the results of our audit work for 2017-18 including key issues and recommendations raised as a result of our observations.

We also provided the mandatory declarations required under auditing standards as part of this report.

Auditor’s Report

The Auditor’s Report included our audit opinion on the financial statements along with our VFM conclusion and our certificate.

Annual Audit Letter

This Annual Audit Letter provides a summary of the results of our audit for 2017-18.
This appendix provides information on our final fees for the 2017-18 audit.

External audit

Our scale fee for the audit is £47,187 plus VAT (£47,187 in 2016/17), which is consistent with the prior year. However, we propose an additional fee of £3,456 due to the additional work required on the new general ledger system upgrade to Agresso in December 2017. We have discussed and agreed this additional fee with the S151 officer. This is still subject to PSAA’s final determination.

Other services

We did not charge any additional fees for other services.

All fees quoted are exclusive of VAT.
This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. We draw your attention to the Statement of Responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies, which is available on Public Sector Audit Appointment’s website (www.psaa.co.uk).

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body’s own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG’s work, in the first instance you should contact Tim Cutler, the engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact the national lead partner for all of KPMG’s work under our contract with Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, Andrew Sayers, by email to Andrew.Sayers@kpmg.co.uk. After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access PSAA’s complaints procedure by emailing generalenquiries@psaa.co.uk by telephoning 020 7072 7445 or by writing to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, 3rd Floor, Local Government House, Smith Square, London, SW1P 3HZ.
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